

Universal Traversal and Exploration Sequence

Amnon Ta-Shma and Dean Doron (Scribes: Eliran Kachlon)

1 Universal Traversal Sequence

1.1 Reminder

In the previous lecture we've seen that $USTCON \in L$. Shortly, given an undirected graph G of degree D and two vertices s and t , we've used a known explicit construction of degree d expander's family $\{H_i\}_i$ to define $G_0 = G$ and

$$G_i = G_{i-1} \otimes H_{i-1},$$

for every $i \leq m_0 = O(\log n)$. Then, for $i > m_0$, we defined the following family of expanders

$$H'_i = (H_{m_0-1+2^{i-m_0}})^{2^{i-m_0}},$$

and the graphs

$$G_i = G_{i-1} \otimes H'_{i-1}.$$

Finally, we looked at G_m for $m = m_0 + \log \log n + O(1)$ and claimed that the connected components of G and G_m are identical, and also that if s is connected to t in G then s is a neighbor of t in G_m . Finally, we argued that we can iterate over all neighbors of s in G_m in logarithmic space.

Note that for every vertex v in G_m , every edge-label of v is of the form

$$(\sigma, i_0, \dots, i_{m_0}, i_{m_0+1}, \dots, i_m),$$

where $\sigma \in [D], i_0, \dots, i_{m_0} \in [d]$ and so on. We've also seen that computing

$$Rot_{G_m}(v, (\sigma, i_0, \dots, i_{m_0}, i_{m_0+1}, \dots, i_m))$$

can be thought of as an in-order walk on a trinary-tree, where each node represents a computation, and the computations on the leaves correspond to a sequence of walking instructions on G that takes us from s to t .

1.2 Universal Traversal Sequence

Definition 1. A labelled graph is locally-invertible if

$$Rot_G(v, i) = (v[i], \phi(i)),$$

for some permutation ϕ .

Observation 2. If G is ϕ -locally-invertible then the generated sequence of instructions that takes us from s to t does not depend on s .

Definition 3. Let F be a family of D -regular labelled graphs. We say that the string $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_T) \in [D]^T$ is universal traversal sequence (UTS) for F if for every $G \in F$ and every vertex v of G , the walk σ starting at v will visit all the graph's vertices.

Claim 4. Let F be the family of undirected D -regular labelled graphs which are ϕ -locally invertible. Then there exists a logspace construction of UTS for F .

Proof. From the observation we see that for every graph $G \in F$, every vertex v , and every edge-label $\bar{i} = (\sigma, i_0, \dots, i_{m_0}, i_{m_0+1}, \dots, i_m)$, the sequence of instructions that are generated by computing $Rot_{G_m}(v, \bar{i})$ is independent of v . Hence, we can simply write $Rot_{G_m}(\bar{i})$. Moreover, note that the output of $Rot_{G_m}(\bar{i})$ is some edge-label \bar{i}' , and $Rot_{G_m}(\bar{i}') = \bar{i}$.

This implies the following algorithm: iterate over all possible edge-labels \bar{i} , and for each one compute $Rot_{G_m}(\bar{i})$, and while computing, print to the output tape the corresponding sequence of instructions generated by the computation of Rot_{G_m} . After computing $Rot_{G_m}(\bar{i})$ the work-tape has changed to some other edge-label \bar{i}' , for which we compute $Rot_{G_m}(\bar{i}')$ and print to the output tape the corresponding sequence of instructions. Now the work-tape is once again \bar{i} and we move to the next edge-label.

Note that the above can be implemented in logarithmic space, and that if the sequence of instructions that corresponds to \bar{i} goes from v to u , then the sequence of instructions that corresponds to \bar{i}' goes from u to v . This implies that the whole sequence, when starting at some vertex v of G , repeatedly goes (on G !) from v to some neighbor of v in G_m , and then back to v . Since every vertex in the connected component of v in G is a neighbor of v in G_m it follows that the whole sequence visits every vertex in the connected component of v , as required. \square

1.3 Generalization

In the following generalization we will look at D -regular digraphs which are *consistently labelled*.

Definition 5. A labelled D -regular graph is consistently labelled if for every $v \in V$ and every $i \in [D]$ there exists exactly one neighbor w s.t. $w[i] = v$.

Claim 6. Let G be a D -regular digraph. Then

1. $\|G\| \leq 1$.
2. The all 1's vector is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 1.
3. Let V^\perp be the orthogonal subspace to the span of the all 1's vector. Then V^\perp is invariant under G .

For such a D -regular digraph we define the rotation map $Rot : V \times [D] \rightarrow V \times [D]$ by $Rot(v, i) = (v[i], i)$. Note that if G is consistently labelled then Rot_G is a permutation.

Using the above definition of the rotation map for digraphs, we can define $G \circledast H$ in the same way as before, and note that now it corresponds to picking an edge of H at random and using both ends as edge-labels in G . Formally, for $v \in V$, $\sigma \in [D]$ and $i \in [d]$ we have

$$Rot_{G \circledast H}(v, (\sigma, i)) = (v'', (\sigma, i))$$

where $Rot_G(v, \sigma) = (v', \sigma)$, $Rot_H(\sigma, i) = (\sigma', i)$ and $Rot_G(v', \sigma') = (v'', \sigma')$.

The following claims follow by similar proofs to those we saw in the last lecture:

Claim 7. *If G is a connected D -regular digraph then $\lambda(G) \geq 1/n^4$.*

Claim 8. *If G is a connected D -regular digraph then $\lambda(G_m) \geq 1 - 1/10n$.*

Corollary 9. *If s is connected to t in G then s is a neighbor of t in G_m .*

2 Universal Exploration Sequence

Let G be a D -regular undirected graph. We've seen that one way of walking on the graph is keeping in memory only the current vertex v where we stand at, and given an instruction $\sigma \in [D]$ simply walk to the σ neighbor of v .

Another way of walking on the graph is keeping in memory, in addition to the vertex v , also v 's label of the last edge (u, v) that we've just traversed. If this label is τ and we are given an instruction $\sigma \in [D]$, then we simply traverse the edge whose label is $\tau + \sigma \pmod{D}$. This kind of walk is called *exploration sequence*.

Definition 10. *Let F be a family of D -regular undirected labelled graphs. We say that $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_T) \in [D]^T$ is a universal exploration sequence (UES) for F if for every $G \in F$ and starting edge e , the walk obtained by σ visits all the edges of the graph.*

Claim 11. *There exists a logspace construction of UES.*

We will prove the above claim in HW. One way to prove it is using the construction of UTS for regular locally-invertible graphs that we've seen. Another way is that given an undirected D -regular graph G , we can construct a graph $L(G)$ whose vertices are the (directed) edges (i, j) (i.e. for every undirected edge $\{i, j\}$ in G there are two vertices (i, j) and (j, i)), and a vertex (i, j) is connected to (j, k) iff $\{i, j\}$ and $\{j, k\}$ are edges of G . Note that every labelling of the neighbors in G induces a labelling on the neighbors in $L(G)$, and we claim that $L(G)$ is consistently labelled.

3 Some Words on Reingold's Proof that $USTCON \in L$

Now we will shortly describe Reingold's proof that $USTCON \in L$ which we will also see in HW. Let G be a (wlog) D^2 -regular undirected graph with self-loops on every vertex. Let H be a fixed $[D^4, D, 1/4]$ -graph. We define $G_0 = G$ and

$$G_{i+1} = G_i^2 \circledast H.$$

Note that squaring improves the gap but also increases the degree, while the zig-zag product reduces the degree back to D^2 but also slightly decreases the gap (and also, as a side effect, increases the number of vertices). Since the gap of G_0 is non-negligible, it can be shown that for $m = O(\log n)$ we have $\text{gap}(G_m) \geq 1/18$. Note that G_m is a constant degree graph with polynomial-number of vertices, and that every node s_m in the cloud that corresponds to s in G_m is connected to any node t_m in the cloud that corresponds to t in G_m iff s is connected to t in G . Hence all that remains is to try all paths of length $O(\log n)$ in G_m from some s_m to some t_m , and we can show that this can be implemented in logarithmic space.

4 Extractors

Definition 12. Let X be a distribution on $\{0, 1\}^n$. We say that X is a k -source if for every $a \in \text{Supp}(X)$, $\Pr[X = a] \leq 2^{-k}$. Equivalently, X is a k -source if $H_\infty(X) \geq k$ where $H_\infty(X) := \log \frac{1}{\max_a \Pr[X=a]}$.

Some examples:

1. If X is the uniform distribution on $\{0, 1\}^n$ then X is an n -source, and we have $H_\infty(X) = n$.
2. If X is 0 with probability $1/2$ and otherwise uniform on $\{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{0^n\}$ then $H_\infty(X) = 1$.

Claim 13. Let $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^s$ and let X be the uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^n$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\Pr_X[H_\infty(X|f(X)) \leq n - s - \log(1/\epsilon)] \leq \epsilon.$$

Intuitively, the above claim says that if f compresses n bits to s bits, then with high probability knowing $f(X)$ reduces only about s bits of entropy from X .

We would like to have a function $Ext : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ s.t. given a k -source X , $Ext(X)$ will be close to U_m (we can think of Ext as a “hash function”). Note that such a function does not exist: Assume that we only want one random bit (i.e. $m = 1$) from an $(n - 1)$ -source, and let $Ext : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. Assume wlog that 0 has at least 2^{n-1} preimages in Ext , and define X to be the random distribution over $Ext^{-1}(0)$. Then X is an $(n - 1)$ -source, but $Ext(X) \equiv 0$.

Hence we use a weaker definition:

Definition 14. A function $Ext : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^d \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ is called an (k, ϵ) -extractor if for every k -source X we have

$$|Ext(X, U_d) - U_m|_1 \leq \epsilon.$$

An intuitive way of thinking of it is that U_d chooses at random a function h from a family of “hash functions” H and applies it on X (i.e. $Ext(X, h) = h(x)$). We know that every function has a distribution X for which it fails, but for a specific distribution most of the functions in H are good.