

Semantic Path Order and Dependency Pairs

August 21, 2013

Semantic Path Order

- $>$ is a strict partial order (on terms).
- \approx is an equivalence relation (on terms).
- They are compatible, i.e.: $> \circ \approx \subseteq >$ and $\approx \circ > \subseteq >$.
- Usually their definition involves some “semantics”.

Semantic Path Order

Definition

The semantic path equivalence \approx induced by \approx is the equivalence relation between terms (inductively defined) by: $f(s_1, \dots, s_m) \approx g(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ iff $f(s_1, \dots, s_m) \approx g(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, $m = n$, and $s_i \approx t_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Semantic Path Order

Definition

The lexicographic semantic path order $>$ induced by $\langle >, \approx \rangle$ is the strict partial order between terms, recursively defined as follows: For two terms $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_m)$ and $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, $s > t$ if at least one of the following hold:

- 1 $s_i \succsim t$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$.
- 2 $s > t_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $s > t$.
- 3 $s > t_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $s \approx t$, and $\langle s_1, \dots, s_m \rangle >_{lex} \langle t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle$ ($>_{lex}$ is the lexicographic ordering induced by $>$ and \approx).

Properties

Subterm Property

If t is a proper subterm of s , then $s > t$.

- $s > t$ is a strict partial order.
- $s \approx t$ is an equivalence relation.
- They are compatible: $> \circ \approx \subseteq >$ and $\approx \circ > \subseteq >$.

Example

- Consider a language with a constant 0 (nullary symbol), three unary symbols S, P, F , and one binary symbol $*$.
- Define the semantic interpretation $\llbracket t \rrbracket$ of a term t to be its natural numerical value (S is successor, P is previous and $\llbracket P(0) \rrbracket = 0$, $*$ is multiplication, and F is factorial).
- Define $>$ by $t > s$ if either t is headed by F and s is not, or both are headed by F and $\llbracket t \rrbracket > \llbracket s \rrbracket$.
- Then, for every term x :
 - $F(0) > S(0)$, since $F(0) > S(0)$ and $F(0) > 0$.
 - $F(S(x)) > P(S(x))$, since $F(S(x)) > P(S(x))$ and $F(S(x)) > S(x)$.
 - $F(S(x)) > F(P(S(x)))$ since $F(S(x)) > F(P(S(x)))$ and $F(S(x)) > P(S(x))$.
 - $F(S(x)) > S(x) * F(P(S(x)))$. Why?

Basic Theorem

Theorem

If $>$ is well-founded, then the lexicographic semantic path order $>$ induced by $\langle >, \approx \rangle$ is also well-founded.

Proof Outline.

- 1 Take a minimal counterexample
 $t_1 = f_1(s_1^1, \dots, s_1^{m_1}) > t_2 = f_2(s_2^1, \dots, s_2^{m_2}) > \dots$
- 2 Observe that $s_j^i \not\approx t_{i+1}$ cannot hold (by minimality)
- 3 Therefore, $t_i \approx t_{i+1}$ for every i
- 4 Since $>$ is well-founded and $> \circ \approx \subseteq >$, $t_i \approx t_{i+1}$ from some point on
- 5 From that point: $\langle s_i^1, \dots, s_i^{m_i} \rangle >_{lex} \langle s_{i+1}^1, \dots, s_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}} \rangle$
- 6 From some M a certain component j constantly decreases
- 7 $t_1 > \dots > t_{M-1} > s_M^j > s_{M+1}^j > s_{M+2}^j > \dots$ is a “shorter” counterexample



Proving Termination Using Lexicographic Semantic Path Orders

To prove that a rewrite system terminates, one has to identify relations $>$ and \approx for which the following hold:

- 1 $>$ is well-founded.
- 2 If $s \rightarrow t$ then $f(\dots, s, \dots) \succsim f(\dots, t, \dots)$ for every symbol f (as usual, $\succsim = > \cup \approx$).
- 3 For every rule $l \rightarrow r$ and substitution σ : $\sigma l > \sigma r$, where $>$ is the lexicographic semantic path order induced by $\langle >, \approx \rangle$.

Example

- $P(S(x)) \rightarrow x$
- $F(0) \rightarrow S(0)$
- $F(S(x)) \rightarrow S(x) * F(P(S(x)))$

- Take $>$ as before, and $t \approx s$ iff both are headed by the same symbol, and $\llbracket t \rrbracket = \llbracket s \rrbracket$
- $>$ and \approx are compatible.
- $>$ is well-founded.
- if $s \rightarrow t$ then $f(\dots, s, \dots) \approx f(\dots, t, \dots)$ (since all rules preserve the numerical value).
- $\sigma l > \sigma r$ for every rule $l \rightarrow r$ and σ .

Therefore, this system is terminating.

Proof of Termination

- Conditions 2 – 3 above ensure that if $s \rightarrow t$ then $s > t$ (by induction on the depth of the rewrite step)
- The claim follows since $>$ is well-founded

Example

- $\neg\neg x \rightarrow x$
- $\neg(x \vee y) \rightarrow \neg\neg x \wedge \neg\neg y$
- $\neg(x \wedge y) \rightarrow \neg\neg x \vee \neg\neg y$

- $t > s$ iff the number of \vee, \wedge in t is greater than their number in s
- $t \approx s$ iff the number of \vee, \wedge in t is equal to their number in s
- $>$ and \approx are compatible, and $>$ is well-founded
- $s \rightarrow t$ implies $f(\dots, s, \dots) \approx f(\dots, t, \dots)$ (since all rules of the system preserve the number of \vee and \wedge).
- $\sigma l > \sigma r$ for every rule $l \rightarrow r$ and σ (verify).

Consequently, this system is terminating.

Other Semantic Path Orders

- Replace $>_{lex}$ by $>_{multiset}$ to obtain “*multiset semantic path order*”.
 - All proofs remain the same.
- Use $>_{lex}$ with different ordering of the subterms, possibly ignoring or duplicating some of them.
 - Associate a list of indices $i_1^f, \dots, i_{m_f}^f$ to every symbol f .
 - Replace $\langle s_1, \dots, s_m \rangle >_{lex} \langle t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle$ by $\langle s_{i_1^f}, \dots, s_{i_{m_f}^f} \rangle >_{lex} \langle t_{i_1^g}, \dots, t_{i_{m_g}^g} \rangle$.
 - The proof that $>$ is well-founded remains the same.
 - The termination proof does not work: we might have $s \rightarrow t$ and $s \approx t$.
 - However, we can still prove termination by showing:
 - $s \rightarrow t$ implies $s \succsim t$.
 - Top-rewrite of $s \rightarrow t$ implies $s > t$.

Slightly Extended Semantic Path Orders

Observation

We did not use the fact that \approx is an equivalence relation.

- We can take any quasi order \succsim instead of \approx .
- Require compatibility: $> \circ \succsim \subseteq >$.
- Same definition of a semantic path order.

Slightly Extended Semantic Path Orders

Theorem

A rewrite system is terminating if there are compatible strict partial order $>$ and quasi-order \succsim satisfying:

- 1 $>$ is well-founded.
- 2 $s \rightarrow t$ implies $f(\dots, s, \dots) > f(\dots, t, \dots)$ or $f(\dots, s, \dots) \succsim f(\dots, t, \dots)$.
- 3 For every rule $l \rightarrow r$ and substitution σ : $\sigma l > \sigma r$, where $>$ is the (lexicographic) semantic path order induced by $\langle >, \succsim \rangle$.

- The proof remains the same.

Dependency Pairs

Definition

A *constructor* (in some rewrite system) is a symbol that never appears at the head of a left-hand side of any rule.

Definition

The dependency pairs of a rewrite system consist of all pairs $l \rightarrow u$ for every rule $l \rightarrow r$ and non-variable (not necessarily proper) subterm u of r that is not headed by a constructor.

Example

- $x - 0 \rightarrow x$
 - $s(x) - s(y) \rightarrow x - y$
 - $0 \div s(y) \rightarrow 0$
 - $s(x) \div s(y) \rightarrow s((x - y) \div s(y))$
-
- s and 0 are constructors.
 - The dependency pairs are:
 - $s(x) - s(y) \rightarrow x - y$
 - $s(x) \div s(y) \rightarrow (x - y) \div s(y)$
 - $s(x) \div s(y) \rightarrow x - y$

Proving Termination Using Dependency Pairs

Theorem

A rewrite system^a is terminating if there exist a quasi-order \succsim and a strict partial order $>$ that satisfy the following conditions:

- 1 $\succsim \circ > \subseteq >$
- 2 $\sigma l \succsim \sigma r$ for each rule $l \rightarrow r$ and substitution σ
- 3 $\sigma l > \sigma r$ for each dependency pair $l \rightarrow r$ and substitution σ
- 4 $>$ is well-founded
- 5 \succsim is weakly monotonic: $s \succsim t$ implies $f(\dots, s, \dots) \succsim f(\dots, t, \dots)$

^aWe assume that each variable occurring in a right side of some rule also occurs in its left side, and that no rule has the form $x \rightarrow r$ for some variable x .

Example

$$x - 0 \rightarrow x$$

$$s(x) - s(y) \rightarrow x - y$$

$$0 \div s(y) \rightarrow 0$$

$$s(x) \div s(y) \rightarrow s((x - y) \div s(y))$$

Dependency pairs:

$$s(x) - s(y) \rightarrow x - y$$

$$s(x) \div s(y) \rightarrow (x - y) \div s(y)$$

$$s(x) \div s(y) \rightarrow x - y$$

- Define $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ by: $\llbracket 0 \rrbracket = 0$; $\llbracket s(x) \rrbracket = \llbracket x \rrbracket + 1$; $\llbracket x - y \rrbracket = \llbracket x \rrbracket$; $\llbracket x \div y \rrbracket = \llbracket x \rrbracket$
- Define: $s \succcurlyeq t$ iff $\llbracket s \rrbracket \geq \llbracket t \rrbracket$; $s \succ t$ iff $\llbracket s \rrbracket > \llbracket t \rrbracket$
- $\succcurlyeq \circ \succ \subseteq \succ$
- $\sigma l \succcurlyeq \sigma r$ for each rule $l \rightarrow r$ and σ
- $\sigma l \succ \sigma r$ for each dependency pair $l \rightarrow r$ and σ
- \succ is well-founded
- \succcurlyeq is weakly monotonic (since in the suggested interpretation all symbols are interpreted by a weakly monotonic function)

Consequently, this system is terminating.

Termination Proof (Using Semantic Path Order)

Lemma

If the conditions above hold for some \succsim and $>$, then they also hold for some \succsim' and $>'$, such that $s >' t$ whenever t is headed by a constructor and s is not.

Proof Outline.

Obtain $>'$ from $>$ by:

- Adding all pairs whose left-side is a non-constructor term and right-side is a constructor term
- Removing any pair whose left-side is a constructor term

Obtain \succsim' from \succsim by:

- Removing any pair with left-side a constructor and right-side not.

Show that the conditions above hold for \succsim' and $>'$.



Termination Proof (Using Semantic Path Order)

- By the previous lemma, we can suppose that in $>$ all terms headed by constructors are smaller than all those that are not.
- Let $>$ be $\gtrsim \circ > \circ \gtrsim$.
- $> \circ \gtrsim \subseteq >$, so $>$ and \gtrsim are compatible.
- We show that $>$ and \gtrsim meet all conditions required to prove termination using the lexicographic semantic path order induced by $\langle >, \gtrsim \rangle$.

Termination Proof (Using Semantic Path Order)

- $>$ is well-founded since $\succsim \circ > \subseteq >$ and $>$ is well-founded.
- Suppose that $s \rightarrow t$, and show that $f(\dots, s, \dots) \succsim f(\dots, t, \dots)$.
Since \succsim is weakly monotonic, it suffices to show that $s \rightarrow t$ implies that $s \succsim t$. This is proven by induction on the depth of the rewrite step $s \rightarrow t$ (again, using weak monotonicity for the induction step).

Termination Proof (Using Semantic Path Order)

- Consider a rule $l \rightarrow r$ and a substitution σ . We show that $\sigma l > \sigma r$.
 - If r is a proper subterm of l (in particular, if r is a variable), then $\sigma l > \sigma r$ by the subterm property.
 - Otherwise r is headed by a constructor or $l \rightarrow r$ is a dependency pair.
 - In both cases, $\sigma l > \sigma r$ and so $\sigma l > \sigma r$.
 - To show that $\sigma l > \sigma r$, it suffices to prove that $\sigma l > \sigma r'$ for every subterm r' of r .
 - Use induction on the structure of r' :
 - Suppose that for all subterms r'' of r' we have $\sigma l > \sigma r''$.
 - If r' is a subterm of l (in particular, if r' is a variable), then $\sigma l > \sigma r'$ by the subterm property.
 - Otherwise r' is headed by a constructor or $l \rightarrow r'$ is a dependency pair.
 - In both cases, $\sigma l > \sigma r'$ and so $\sigma l > \sigma r'$.
 - By the induction hypothesis, $\sigma l > \sigma r'$.

Revisiting Example Above

$$x - 0 \rightarrow x$$

$$s(x) - s(y) \rightarrow x - y$$

$$0 \div s(y) \rightarrow 0$$

$$s(x) \div s(y) \rightarrow s((x - y) \div s(y))$$

Dependency pairs:

$$s(x) - s(y) \rightarrow x - y$$

$$s(x) \div s(y) \rightarrow (x - y) \div s(y)$$

$$s(x) \div s(y) \rightarrow x - y$$

- Define $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ by: $\llbracket 0 \rrbracket = 0$; $\llbracket s(x) \rrbracket = \llbracket x \rrbracket + 1$; $\llbracket x - y \rrbracket = \llbracket x \rrbracket$; $\llbracket x \div y \rrbracket = \llbracket x \rrbracket$.
- Define \succsim by: $s \succsim t$ iff $\llbracket s \rrbracket \geq \llbracket t \rrbracket$.
- Define \succ by: $s \succ t$ iff $\llbracket s \rrbracket > \llbracket t \rrbracket$.

According to the last proof, termination can be proved using the lexicographic semantic path order induced by $\langle \succ, \succsim \rangle$, that are defined by:

- $s \succ t$ if s is not headed by S or 0 , and either $\llbracket s \rrbracket > \llbracket t \rrbracket$ or t is headed by S or 0 .
- $s \succsim t$ if $\llbracket s \rrbracket \geq \llbracket t \rrbracket$, and either s is not headed by S or 0 or t is headed by S or 0 .